19, 2013 in the Council Chambers of the Borough Hall, 201 East Main Street, Manasquan, New The Manasquan Planning Board held a second meeting at 4:05 p.m. on Wednesday, November

notice was given to the Coast Star and the Asbury Park Press on December 13, 2012. He also Board Attorney Geoffrey Cramer's statement re: Open Public Meetings Act of 1975 and that read the rules and time frame for the meeting.

Salute to the Flag.

#### Roll Call:

Present: Mayor Dempsey, Members Muly, Rabenda, Harriman, Hamilton, and Apostolou.

Absent: Council Member McCarthy, Members Burke, Love, Sullivan, Price, Ragan, and Young.

Professionals Present: Board Attorney - Geoffrey S. Cramer and Board Engineer Charles Rooney. APPLICATION #50-2013 - American Timber Company - 579 Brielle Road - Block: 182.01 - Lot: 22 - Zone: R-5 - Demo and Re-Build, Bulk Variances Keith Henderson, Attorney for applicant went over the above specifics and stated that it is flood September 17, 2013 and that all appropriate documentation has been submitted. He requested zone AE with a BFE of 9 feet. He went over the letter of denial from the zoning officer dated that the board accept jurisdiction of the application.

Mr. Cramer stated that all appropriate documentation is in the applicant's file.

Geoffrey Cramer swore in Henry Yard, Chris Rice, and Charles Rooney.

Mr. Henderson asked questions of Mr. Yard.

rental properties. He reported that the plan for the property is a single family two story dwelling. demolished. He also stated that there were two separate living units on the property which were Mr. Yard stated that he is a shareholder in American Timber Company and the company owns homes was leaning on the adjacent building and as a result of this damage the buildings were the property. He stated that both properties were washed off the foundations and one of the

Mr. Henderson asked questions of Mr. Rice.

because they have 2,453 where 2700 is required and lot frontage required is 40 and there is only requirements that were existing and he also went over the building coverage that was existing Mr. Rice stated his occupation and that he is the architect for the applicant. He went over the variances of the property as submitted to the Board. He stated that the lot area is undersized 25. He stated that the lot is excessively narrow lot for the area. He went over the yard

members and the requirements required and what they are asking to have. as the previous buildings. He went over the site plan that was submitted to the planning board and the current requested coverage. He stated that the building and lot coverage will be the same of a little more than 10 feet to the deck with the house sitting back 16 feet. He stated that the there will be room for 2 cars to park off street. He also stated that they are looking for set back with no ability to park under the house. He stated that if the structure is pushed back to 20 feet finished floor will be at 11 with the grade at about 5, so there will be about 5 feet under the house property nor is there any land available in front or rear of the property and the property can not previous buildings lot coverage. He stated there is no land available on either side of this rear setbacks will be made better and 45% building and lot coverage which is less than the variances are lot area and frontage exists, side yard setbacks are being made better, the front and and the height of the structure is going to be at 33 feet. be increased at all. He stated that there will be off street parking for 2 cars with the new home He stated that the

in the property and the conformity of the building There were questions back and forth between Mr. Henderson and Mr. Rice regarding the change

agreement be made with the neighbor for encroaching on this property with the wall or make Mr. Henderson stated that in a report from the tax collector it was suggested that an easement have had no response them move it. He also stated that American Timber Company wrote the gentleman and they

2013 with no response as of this date that an easement or encroachment agreement be granted which was sent out on November 13, Marked into evidence as Exhibit A-1 is a letter from American Timber Company which requests

There was discussion on the property and a walkway.

Mr. Henderson stated that he reviewed T&M Associates' report and stated that paragraph two the time they do their building permits. sets forth what the issues are with this property. He stated that they will do the grading plan at

plan that should be provided for the front yard. He stated that he will do whatever the board Mr. Rice stated that the mechanical equipment will go in the back and need to be out of the flood He stated that the front is going to be a driveway material in reference to the landscaping

utilities being located underground if possible Mr. Henderson stated that they do not have any problems with the curb and sidewalk or the

Member Muly inquired about access to the crawl space

Mr. Rice stated that there will be access in the front, one on each side, and they might skip the

There was discussion on a stockade fence in the back of the property and which property owner's is it. It was found on the survey that the fence is not on their property.

Mr. Henderson stated that he does not know what the Technical Review report meant by parking variance. Mr. Hamilton stated that what was discussed for narrow lots and the front setbacks was instead make it more esthetically looking rather than have two cars jammed up in the front to just have of clutter the property it was the feeling of the board that a one car variance could be issued to the one car and have the front look more pleasing to the street. Mr. Rice stated that if this was a rental he would do that but for what is being built and sold this is not an expensive home to build and he believes more the two parking spots are more important. He stated that he would like to provide for two parking spots.

There was a question on curb cuts and Mr. Rice stated that he will only take what is needed to get the cars in. Member Apostolou asked if Mr. Rice will acknowledge that the curb cut will only be directly in front of the parking area.

Mr. Rice stated that this is correct.

Member Hamilton stated that if there is to be a straight drive in that would need to be included in the resolution as 16 feet will probably be needed.

Mr. Rooney stated that the plan shows 12 feet scaled.

Mr. Rice stated that he thinks the curb cut should be wide enough to accommodate the two cars to the two car driveway.

It was recommended not to exceed 16 feet.

Mr. Rooney inquired about the encroachment and how far the wall encroaches.

Mr. Henderson stated that the wall encroaches by the 3 inches.

Mr. Rooney asked if the wall has any bearing on lot coverage or access to the rear for fire fighting purposes. Mr. Henderson stated that there is no issue. He also stated that the wall is 2 1/2 inches not 3 inches.

There was discussion on the wall and the encroachment and the possibility of a new encroachment.

the encroachment and that the whole property with the encroachment be looked into for lot It was recommended that the applicant speak with the code department regarding the removal of coverage

where the house was always 21 x 57 feet long and he has the original plans in his office." Mr. there was a discrepancy. Eleven years ago he received a variance as they came in with plans area is 51% and the variance as stated was 45.4. He read from the minutes "Mr. Damian said Mr. Henderson stated that he reviewed Mary's minutes and lot area is 23.52 and the building came about. He stated that the variance number is 05-211. Henderson stated that Mr. Damian stated that he does not know how the 45.4 building coverage

There was discussion on the neighboring house and the lot coverage and variances

Board Member Apostolou recommended a letter be received from Mr. Henderson to the Code Department to protect his client.

Board Secretary stated that the number should be 05-2013 and she believes that the 05-211 is

Member Hamilton. Board Member Apostolou made a motion to open the hearing to the public, seconded by Board Motion carried unanimously.

public, seconded by Board Member Hamilton. Motion carried unanimously There being no comment Board Member Apostolou made a motion to close the hearing to the

Mr. Henderson made is closing statement and asked that the Board approve this application

Mr. Cramer stated that this is in addition to a curb cut.

Mr. Henderson stated it will include the curb cut as stated early of 16 feet

Mr. Rooney asked if a follow up letter will be sent to the neighbor on the encroachment

might be to just remove the encroachment since he is not cooperating Mr. Henderson stated that they are not receiving a response and he believes that the next letter

Mr. Cramer stated that a cc should be sent to the Building Department

encroachment Mr. Henderson stated that he will and that their surveyor will check on the latest possible

be handling it. There was discussion on the wall and a possible second encroachment and how the applicant will



easement which would terminate upon the sale of the property. He stated that was what was sent Mr. Henderson stated that he prepared a license agreement for the encroachment which is not an to the neighbor and there has been no response.

exceed 16 feet curb cut, seconded by Board Member Harriman. Motion carried by the following Mayor George Dempsey made a motion to approve the application as presented with the not to vote: "yes" Mayor Dempsey, Board Members Muly, Rabenda, Harriman, Hamilton, and Apostolou. "No" none.

ordinance that would provide for covering of pilings and cement pilings for aesthetic purposes. Mayor Dempsey informed Mr. Henderson and Mr. Rice that the council is looking into an

There was discussion on the type of covering that should be used.

## RESOLUTION #25-2013 - Restated Resolution - Cresitello, Donald and Irene -Beachfront - Block: 185 - Lot: 25 - Zone: R-4

"yes" Board Members "No" none. Mayor Dempsey and Board Members Muly Board Member Hamilton made a motion to approve resolution 46-2013, seconded by Board Member Harriman. Motion carried with the following members voting: Rabenda, Harriman, and Hamilton. and Apostolou abstained.

# RESOLUTION #52-2013 - Rice, Chris-24 Fletcher Ave. - Block: 97 - Lot: 8, 9 - Zone: R-1

"yes" Board Members Board Member Harriman made a motion to approve resolution 47-2013, seconded by Board Member Rabenda. Motion carried with the following members voting: "No" none. Rabenda, Harriman, and Hamilton.

# RESOLUTION #49-2013 - Tigner, John-105 Beachfront - Block: 167 - Lot: 3 - Zone: R-4

Member Harriman. Motion carried with the following members voting: "yes" Board Members Board Member Hamilton made a motion to approve resolution 47-2013, seconded by Board "No" none. Rabenda, Harriman, and Hamilton.

## OLD/NEW BUSINES:

Board Member Muly stated that he thought that it was important that the board receive a copy of a letter that was posted on the BOE website from an attorney which was in response to the Board.

Board Secretary stated that the board needs to have a nomination committee assigned. that the only person that responded was John Burke who would like to be Chairman.

It was advised that the nominations are to be at the next regular meeting in December.

December and she stated that nothing has been submitted Board Secretary stated that she does not have anything on the agenda for the first meeting in

not a December 3 Member Apostolou asked if nominations can be submitted at the December 17 meeting if there is

Mayor Dempsey asked if there will be enough time to move the applicants from December 17 December 3

Board Secretary stated that they would not have enough time to have the proper notices filed

meeting be cancelled with nomination on December 17, 2014, seconded by Board Member Board Member Apostolou made a motion that if there is no applicant for December 3 that the Hamilton. Motion carried unanimously.

from Mr. Gross that was posted on the BOE website Board Member Apostolou stated that he does not believe a response is warranted to the letter

town can control them Board Member Harriman went over an article about the restrictions on digital signs and how

Super Storm Sandy. There was a brief discussion on the article and the sign committee which was discussed prior to

Super Storm Sandy and if the Board should go back to charging that special meeting fee in 2014 There was discussion on charging for a special meeting as of right now there is no fee because of

charging in January 2014. Mayor Demspey stated that he has no problem with waiving the fee for another year or start

after that time the Board should re-visit and assess the fees at that time. Board Member Apostolou stated that he thinks that it should be waived for the first 6 months and

effective July 1, 2014 to re-assess the \$1,000 fee, seconded by Board Member Harriman Board Member Apostolou made a motion to extend the fee waiver for another 6 months and Motion carried unanimously.

Member Apostolou. Motion carried unanimously. Board Member Hamilton made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 5:01 p.m., seconded by Board

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Ilaria, RMC Acting Planning Board Secretary

DATE APPROVED 5-6-1